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# Iteration 2 review

Iteration one involved our group creating:

1. Weekly meeting minutes
2. Risk Register
3. Pushing files to Git hub
4. User Acceptance Testing

## Cameron Parrish

All tasks completed were completed in the same fashion as Iteration one, where each task was evenly distributed amongst each member in class or at home. The meeting minutes, resources needs chart and github portion were completed on time in class while the Gantt chart was an in person collaborative effort at home. (near completed) Iteration two has been just as effective as iteration one, where in -person group collaboration was made priority. This ensured at our high quality of work has been maintained since iteration one. I am particularly impressed by our group’s communication efforts which help overcome any obstacles or confusions in a timely manner. We will continue to have weekly meetings in person, and keep communication channels open via discord to ensure success of iteration 3.

## Naish Kumar

In Iteration 2, I actively contributed to the project's progress by assisting in preparing and completing the meeting minutes during our scheduled school sessions, ensuring accurate records for future reference. We initiated the setup of our GitHub project board, establishing the structure and adding initial tasks, with full implementation expected soon to enhance project management and coordination. Through a class activity, we thoroughly identified our project's resource needs, ensuring all necessary equipment and support systems are accounted for. Additionally, we held a productive meeting to develop the Gantt chart, completing approximately 70% of it. For Iteration 3, we plan to improve efficiency by holding weekly 30–40 minute check-ins every Friday and implementing a shared task tracker to strengthen communication, coordination, and accountability

## .Terrence Charamba

Every task was finished in the same way as in iteration one, with each member receiving an equal share of the work. While the Gantt chart was a collaborative project , the meeting minutes, resources needs chart, and github boards were finished in class. Iteration two, which prioritized in-person group collaboration, has shown to be equally successful as iteration one. This made sure that since the first version, our high caliber of work has been maintained. I am especially pleased with our group's communication efforts, which enable us to promptly resolve any misunderstandings or barriers. To ensure success, we will continue to hold weekly in-person meetings and maintain open lines of contact via Discord.

## Evidence



